Thakur

[Spl/MAT/F-5/E]

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/ 292 /2016
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,
Free Press Journal Marg,
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date : 25 JAN 2016

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOs. 662 to 664 OF 2015.

1. Mr. Khairnar Ajay Pralhad (O.A. No. 662/2015)
2. Mrs. Charulata P. Chaudhari (O.A. No. 663/2015)
3. Mrs. Vaishali S. Bhagwat (O.A. No. 664/2015)

C/o. Shri K.R. Jagdale, Advocate for the Applicants.

....**APPLICANT/S.**

VERSUS

1 The State of Maharashtra, Through the Principal Secretary, Water Resources Dept., Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.

2 The Director General, MERI, Dindori Road, Nasik.

3 The Secretary, Finance Dept., Mantralaya, Mumbai.

...RESPONDENT/S

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the **20th** day *of* ***January, 2016*** *has made the following order:-*

APPEARANCE : Shri K.R. Jagdale, Advocate for the Applicants.
Shri A.J. Chougule, P.O. holding for Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, C.P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : **HON'BLE SHRI R.B. MALIK, MEMBER (J).**

DATE : **20.01.2016.**

ORDER : Order Copy Enclosed / Order Copy Over Leaf.

22/1/2016

Research Officer,
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,
Mumbai.

A. ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
BENCH

NOMINATION SHEET NO.

Tribunal's orders

O.A.662 to 664/2015

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule holding for Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Mr. Jagdale, the learned Advocate as of now restrict his prayer to prayer clause (b) which is an alternative prayer. After some debate at the Bar, it seems that, that can be granted because even otherwise the representation having been made on 9.7.2015, more than sufficient time has elapsed and added thereto will be the time that now I going to grant which will be still more than adequate. No other substantive issue is being resolved, but liberty is reserved to the Applicant to agitate the same, in the event he remains aggrieved. With that observation, these three OAs are disposed of with the direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide the representation dated 9.7.2015 of the Applicant within a period of two months from today. The decision be communicated to the Applicant within one week thereafter. No order as to costs. Hamdast.

sd/-
(R.B. Malik) 20.1.16
Member (J)
20.01.2016

(skw)

TRUE COPY
Jadal 22/1/2016
Asstt. Registrar / Research Officers
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Mumbai